

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated January 2, 2003, the Examiner rejected claims 21-42 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,272,559. The Examiner also rejected claims 21-42 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,253,256. Claims 21-42 remain pending.

Although disagreeing with the Examiner's rejections of claims 21-42, Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to expedite prosecution of this application. Accordingly, Applicants request withdrawal of the obviousness-type double patenting rejections and the timely allowance of claims 21-42.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: March 11, 2003

By: 

Jeffrey A. Berkowitz
Reg. No. 36,743

Reg. No. 46,508

RECEIVED

MAR 1 8 2003

Technology Center 2100

FINNEGAN
HENDERSON
FARABOW
GARRETT &
DUNNER LLP

1300 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
202.408.4000
Fax 202.408.4400
www.finnegan.com